

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,402	10/29/2003	Jeffrey B. Hardesty	DP-309961 (444859-093)	7386
22851	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. M/C 480-410-202			YEE, DEBORAH	
PO BOX 5052	- • -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TROY, MI 4	8007		1742	

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/696,402	HARDESTY ET AL.	
J Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Deborah Yee	1742	
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)☐ This action is non-final. for allowance except for formal ma	-	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-10,1225 and 27 is/are p 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) Claim(s) 8-10,12-25 and 27 is/are al 6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	re withdrawn from consideration. lowed. ction and/or election requirement. e Examiner.	o by the Examiner	
Applicant may not request that any object	ction to the drawing(s) be held in abeyon the correction is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in of the priority documents have bee nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No on received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/696,402 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 to 7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 to 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent (JP) 362124218 or JP363171857 or JP401246343.
- 4. The English abstract of JP'218, JP'857 and JP'343, each teach a martensitic steel alloy having a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap or closely approximate those recited by claims 1 to 7; such similarities in wt% ranges establishes a prima facie case of obviousness, see MPEP 2144.05.
- 5. Even though prior art alloys do not teach a metal used as a filler in welding steel as recited by the claims, such would not be a patentable difference since it is merely applicant's future and intended use. Note claims are directed to a metal alloy composition per se. Also the weld test pad containing martensite microstructure as recited by the claim is merely a future property which occurs after welding.

Application/Control Number: 10/696,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 1742

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 8 to 10, 12 to 25 and 27 are allowed.

7. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The art of record does not teach or fairly suggest a welded article and its method of making, as claimed, comprising the steps of attaching together two components by placing a filler metal alloy having a composition containing 1 to 1.5% Ti between a first and second component, and welding the filler metal to form a weld comprising an amount of martensite sufficient to increase the volume of the weld thereby at least partially offsetting shrinkage to the weld upon cooling.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 1742

7.40

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Deborah Yee Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1742